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History of Chilled Beams

- Chilled beams were developed in Norway in 1975.
- They have been used successfully in Europe for 20 years, where they have become standard practice.
- Chilled beam technology is emerging in the U.S. as an alternative to conventional systems such as VAV.

US Installations:
- Astra Zeneca – Boston, MA
- Penn State University – Philadelphia, PA
- Harvard University – Boston, MA
- Portland Center Stage – Portland, OR
- Tahoe Center for Environmental Sciences – Tahoe, NV
- Clemson University – Clemson, SC
- University of Wisconsin – Madison, WI
What is a Chilled Beam?

There are two types of Chilled Beams: Passive and Active. Common to each, is a cooling coil which provides radiant cooling via circulated cool water. Chilled beams can be either recessed in the ceiling or exposed below the ceiling. Multi-Service Chilled Beams are also available.

- Passive Chilled Beams consist of a cooling coil in an enclosure.

- Active Chilled Beams provide ventilation air to a space in addition to cooling.

- Multi-Service Chilled Beams can be either Active or Passive. They can integrate a wide variety of other building services such as lighting, speaker systems, IT systems, Sprinkler heads, photocells, etc.

- Four Pipe Heating and cooling chilled beams are available.
Chilled Beam Example

Active Chilled Beam
Conventional VAV System Diagram
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Simple System

Simple to design and control

- Constant volume supply air system
- Easy ASHRAE 62 ventilation calculation
- Less complicated AHU controls
- Less complicated terminal unit controls
- No cooling coil condensate
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Less Supply Air

50% - 65% less supply air required

- Smaller ductwork
- Smaller air handling units
Chilled Beam Supply Air Ductwork Example
Chilled Beam vs. VAV AHU Size Comparison

Building Example:

40,000 SF VAV system @ 0.8 CFM/SF = 32,000 CFM

40,000 SF Chilled Beam System @ 40% of VAV = 13,000 CFM
VAV AHU Physical Size Example

TYPICAL 32,000 CFM VAV AIR HANDLING UNIT
ER AHU Physical Size Example

TYPICAL 13,000 ER AIR HANDLING UNIT

Dimensions:
- 32' 0"
- 12' 0"
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Smaller Ductwork

Reduces ceiling space

• Compared to large VAV systems 50,000 CFM and greater, a chilled beam system can reduce ceiling space by as much as 18 inches

• Compared to small VAV systems 20,000 CFM and less, a chilled beam system can reduce ceiling space by as much as 12 inches
Advantage of Chilled Beams:
Less Mechanical Space

Less building floor area required

• Reduced mechanical room size
• Reduced mechanical shaft size
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Lower Construction Cost

Reduces building construction cost

- Reduced floor to floor height lowers exterior wall cost
- Size of chilled beams installed in ceilings lowers ceiling system cost
- Reduced mechanical and shaft floor area lowers floor, roof and wall cost
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Less Maintenance

Almost no maintenance required

• No moving parts
• No filters to maintain
• Most manufacturers units are easily serviced through the removable room air inlet grille
• Requires minimal cleaning. Typically remains dust and dirt free
Lay-In Ceiling Chilled Beam Example
Exposed Ceiling Chilled Beam Example
Overall occupant comfort is improved

- Individual room temperature control is achieved at minimal additional cost
- System noise is lower due to lower velocity and pressure drop of the constant volume system and no VAV boxes
- Better control of space humidity levels
- More uniform space temperature is achieved
- Occupants are less likely to feel cold drafts
Infrared Thermal image of Chilled Beam Performance
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Improved Indoor Air Quality

- Better than ASHRAE 62 ventilation rates
- No contaminant mixing
Advantage of Chilled Beams: Higher Efficiency

Up to 30% reduction in energy use

- Reduced fan energy
- Ideal application for energy recovery
- Higher design chilled water temperature
An additional 8 – 10 LEED points can be achieved.
Disadvantages of a Chilled Beam System

- Not well known in our industry. Starting to be utilized more in the States. Proven technology in Europe for last 20 years.

- Higher construction cost compared to VAV.

- Affects traditional ceiling appearance. Chilled beams are larger than traditional ceiling diffusers. Can present challenges for lighting coordination.

- Dew point concerns, building must have good control of humidity to prevent condensation on chilled beam surface.
Astra Zeneca is an international research based pharmaceutical company. They are a European owned company that had been using Chilled Beam technology prior to building in the US. They insisted on installing this system when building here.

- Active Chilled Beams installed in five buildings.
- Chilled Beam system has been in operation since 2000.
- Chilled Beams serve offices, laboratories, cafeteria, and atrium with south facing glass.
- No condensation issues.
- Buildings do not have operable windows.
- 100% Outside Air system provides ventilation requirements.
- Cleaning of Chilled Beams has not been needed in 7 years of operation.
- They are planning a new building that will be using a Chilled Beam system and the latest cost model is showing a $100,000 savings over a conventional VAV system on a multi-million dollar project.

According to Bruce McGregor, Facility Manager, the Chilled Beam system is performing very well, and they are very pleased with it.
Case Study: Harvard University – Boston, MA

- Active Chilled Beams are installed in two buildings.
- Chilled Beam system has been in operation since August 2006.
- Chilled Beams serve offices and classrooms.
- One building has operable windows.
- No condensation issues.
- Building is pressurized to reduce infiltration.
- Users were educated on the Chilled Beam system and the importance of keeping windows closed on humid days.
- They are finding that a Chilled Beam system has a lower installed cost than a conventional VAV system.

“This technology is the future of the HVAC industry.” Chuck Stronach, Harvard University

Sustainability Principles
“Harvard University is committed to developing and maintaining an environment that enhances human health and fosters a transition toward sustainability. Harvard has already used the LEED standard in over 16 unique building projects.”
What is the Financial Feasibility of Chilled Beams?
Chilled Beam systems are typically more expensive than other conventional terminal units such as VAV systems.
To test our assumption, we are going to review:

- Cost Considerations
- Case Study – Viterbo University, La Crosse, WI
- Viterbo – Cost Estimate Summary
- Viterbo – Cost Saving Measures
- Conclusions
- Questions
Cost Considerations

- Construction Costs
  - HVAC
  - Electrical
  - General Construction
- Operating Costs
- Maintenance Costs
- Life-Cycle Cost – of a Building
• Chilled beam terminal unit costs are higher as more units are generally required
• Chilled water piping costs are higher to distribute chilled water to the beams
• Insulation costs may be higher to insulate the piping (Depends on dew point requirements)
HVAC Cost Decrease

• Smaller central air handling unit sizing (About 65% less than an “All Air” system)
• Using 100% outdoor air to the chilled beams reduces the supply and return air ductwork sizing required
• For the same level of control, chilled beam controls are less expensive (Only simple zone valves required)
• Lower balancing costs (less and easier adjustments to make)
Electrical Cost Increase

• Connected pump horsepower is typically somewhat higher related to the lower water temperature rise (typically 4-6°) used in the secondary water loop serving the chilled beams

• Lower $\Delta T =$ Higher GPM = Higher pump motor horsepower
Electrical Cost Decrease

- Reduced Electrical Infrastructure:
  Lower kW/ton required by chiller to produce warmer average chilled water supply temperatures (In general, installed refrigeration tonnage remains the same, but chiller efficiency improves … a lower connected electrical load)

- Reduced Electrical Infrastructure
  Although connected pump motor horsepower is typically higher, this is more than offset by the connected fan motor horsepower

- Above changes should result in reduced electrical infrastructure costs
General Construction Costs

Decreased Costs:
(By lower floor-to-floor heights)

- Structural steel
- Masonry
- Fire-proofing
- Steel studs
- Air barrier
- Insulation
- Exterior caulking

- Curtain wall
- Stairs
- Drywall
- Elevators
- Smaller shafts required, resulting in more usable square footage
General Construction Costs – Ceiling Tiles

- Less Ceiling Tile Required
Operating Costs

- Although total pump energy is generally somewhat higher, this is more than offset by the reduction in fan energy.
- A one inch diameter water pipe can transport the same cooling energy as an 18 inch square air duct.
Operating Costs

- Depending on system design, kW/ton is improved by utilizing relatively warmer water temperatures through the chilled beams.
- Higher chilled water temperatures used by chilled beams may allow chiller efficiencies to increase by as much as 35%
Comparison of low temperature and medium temperature water-cooled chillers
Note: A medium temperature loop also greatly expands the potential for free cooling
Operating Costs

Air-Cooled Chiller Efficiency

1.25 kW/ton

18% increase in efficiency

1.06 kW/ton

VAV system utilizing 45°F CHW

Chilled beam system utilizing 58°F CHW
Maintenance Costs

• Chilled beams have no moving parts and require no regular maintenance
# Maintenance Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fan Coil Unit</th>
<th>Active Chilled Beam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filter Changes:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Twice Yearly</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Change:</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost over Lifetime (20 years)</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clean Coil and Condensate System:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Twice Yearly</td>
<td>Every 4 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Change:</td>
<td>$30</td>
<td>$30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost over Lifetime (20 years)</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fan Motor Replacement:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Once during life</td>
<td>N/A/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost per Change:</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost over Lifetime (20 years)</td>
<td>$400</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Life Cycle (20 years) maintenance cost:</strong></td>
<td>$2,800</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Life Cycle Costs – Of a Building

- 75% Alterations, Energy & Operations
- 14% Finance
- 11% Design & Construction

Taken from LEED New Construction Version 2.2 Study Guide
Case Study: Viterbo University

Viterbo University – La Crosse, WI
- North end – Basement + 3 levels
- South end – Basement + 5 levels
- 65,000 ft²
Case Study: Viterbo University

By lowering floor-to-floor heights on average by 10” – 14”…

…height of building was reduced by 6 feet!
Case Study: Viterbo University
Case Study: Viterbo University

• City of La Crosse – For every 5’ in height, setback is increased by 1’
• Lowering the building 6’ allowed for more square footage per floor
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural Steel</td>
<td>$7,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masonry (Int/Ext)</td>
<td>$97,692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fire-Proofing</td>
<td>$600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Studs</td>
<td>$22,824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Barrier</td>
<td>$8,787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulation</td>
<td>$3,424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Caulking</td>
<td>$1,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtain Wall</td>
<td>$10,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stairs</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior Drywall</td>
<td>$55,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevators</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Construction Cost Savings</strong></td>
<td><strong>$245,298</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Viterbo – Cost Saving Measures

- Total cost add for chilled beams: $300,000
- Through value-engineering changes such as:
  - Reduction in control zones
  - Removal of insulation of chilled water piping on floors
  - Provide 1 energy recovery unit in lieu of 2
  - Provide 2 hot water boilers in lieu of 3
  - Eliminate balance valves through the use of pressure independent control valves
  - Use PEX tubing
Viterbo – Cost Saving Measures
When will condensation on the coil be of concern?

- 75 F db room design temperature at 50% relative humidity
- 55 dew point temperature
- Theoretically, condensation will form on the coil when the chilled water temperature is 55 F
- Apparent room dew point is 2-3 F lower due to insulating effect of air film on coil fins
- In reality, at this room design condensation will not begin to form until the water temperature is 52-53 F
503.2.8 Piping insulation. All piping serving as part of a heating or cooling system shall be thermally insulated in accordance with Table 503.2.8.

Exceptions:

1. Factory-installed piping within HVAC equipment tested and rated in accordance with a test procedure referenced by this code.

2. Piping that conveys fluids that have a design operating temperature range between 55°F (13°C) and 105°F (41°C).

3. Piping that conveys fluids that have not been heated or cooled through the use of fossil fuels or electric power.

4. Runout piping not exceeding 4 feet (1219 mm) in length and 1 inch (25 mm) in diameter between the control valve and HVAC coil.

2006 INTERNATIONAL ENERGY CONSERVATION CODE®
# Focus On Energy – Equipment Incentives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Incentive Details</th>
<th>Incentive Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Modulating hot water boiler w/ ≥85% efficiency w/ bonus</td>
<td>$0.10/MBh for each 1% thermal efficiency greater than 85%</td>
<td>$2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Energy Recovery Ventilator</td>
<td>$1.25/cfm</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Air-Cooled Electric Chiller</td>
<td>$20/ton</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Variable Frequency Drives</td>
<td>$50/hp</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 3-Phase Electric Motors</td>
<td>$3-$5/hp</td>
<td>$630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Chilled Beam</td>
<td>Custom</td>
<td>???</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Incentives</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,755</strong></td>
<td><strong>$43,755</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Viterbo – Cost Saving Measures

Final construction cost determination to include chilled beams was valued at NO ADDITIONAL COST.
Conclusions

• The HVAC costs associated with chilled beams are more expensive than other conventional systems.

• Depending on the type of building, the general construction costs utilizing chilled beams can be LESS
Conclusions

- The operating costs associated with chilled beam systems is generally less
- The maintenance costs associated with chilled beam system is generally less
Conclusions

- Our original assumption may not be correct.
- The “Total” overall construction costs may not be any higher for chilled beam systems.
- To determine the “True” financial feasibility of chilled beams, the total life-cycle costs for each of the proposed systems should be compared.
Energy Savings Analysis - Chilled Beams Over VAV
Energy Savings Analysis – Chilled Beams Over VAV
Case Study: WHA Clinic

• $50,483 Total Annual Savings
• Almost $1/SF savings
• $35,109 Savings due to Chilled Beams and Energy Recovery
• 41.8% Total annual Savings
• 29.1% Savings Due to Chilled Beams and Energy Recovery
• Greater Than 30% Savings Incentive
• $45,385 Overall Incentive
• $31,585 Incentive Due to Chilled Beams and Energy Recovery
Questions?